



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

09/446276 12/21/99 Nishike et al.

057234

EXAMINER
----------

A. Palliam

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1615

15

DATE MAILED:

### EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Amy Pulliam

(3) Jennifer Hayes

(2) Spillamudi Kishore

(4) Susan Mack

Yasuko Tanka

Masahiko Dohi

Date of interview

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed:

of record

Identification of prior art discussed:

of record

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The critical features of instant

invention were discussed. As discussed by the attorneys, it would appear that the insoluble substance & the osmolality are critical. However, the results shown in Table 1 of the submission appear to show discrepancies. These will be clarified later. (Page 181)

Comments to show that the osmolality & the insoluble substance are critical was suggested. Since the application is under final, any amendment may or may not be entered. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☐ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

☒ The 102 rejection will be withdrawn.